



US009273981B1

(12) **United States Patent**
Downey et al.

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 9,273,981 B1**
(45) **Date of Patent:** **Mar. 1, 2016**

(54) **DISTRIBUTED UNMANNED AERIAL
VEHICLE ARCHITECTURE**

(71) Applicant: **Unmanned Innovation, Inc.**, San
Francisco, CA (US)

(72) Inventors: **Jonathan Downey**, San Francisco, CA
(US); **Bernard J. Michini**, San
Francisco, CA (US); **Brian Richman**,
San Francisco, CA (US)

(73) Assignee: **UNMANNED INNOVATION, INC.**,
San Francisco, CA (US)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this
patent is extended or adjusted under 35
U.S.C. 154(b) by 0 days.

(21) Appl. No.: **14/709,263**

(22) Filed: **May 11, 2015**

Related U.S. Application Data

(60) Provisional application No. 61/991,806, filed on May
12, 2014.

(51) **Int. Cl.**
G01C 23/00 (2006.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**
CPC **G01C 23/00** (2013.01)

(58) **Field of Classification Search**
USPC 701/3; 244/53 R, 1 R, 75.1, 76 R
See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

5,550,736 A 8/1996 Hay
6,122,572 A 9/2000 Yavnai
6,289,467 B1 9/2001 Lewis
6,497,388 B1 12/2002 Friend

6,522,867 B1 2/2003 Wright
6,665,594 B1 12/2003 Armstrong
6,665,613 B2 12/2003 Duvall
6,754,566 B2* 6/2004 Shimel B64C 13/16
244/1 R
7,093,798 B2 8/2006 Whelan
7,127,334 B2 10/2006 Frink
7,762,496 B2 7/2010 Seiersen
7,912,630 B2 3/2011 Alewine et al.
7,938,362 B2 5/2011 Kismarton

(Continued)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

EP 0758972 A1 12/1997
EP 1677172 A2 7/2006

(Continued)

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

International Search Report and Written Opinion mailed May 30,
2013 for International Application No. PCT/US2013/051227.

(Continued)


Primary Examiner — Christopher P Ellis

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Knobbe Martens Olson &
Bear LLP

(57) **ABSTRACT**

Methods, systems, and apparatus, including computer programs encoded on computer storage media, for a distributed system architecture for unmanned air vehicles. One of the methods includes obtaining information identifying flight information of a UAV, with the flight information including flight phase information or a contingency condition associated with a flight critical module included in the UAV. The obtained information is analyzed, and one or more first payload modules are determined to enter a modified power state. Requests to enter the modified power state are caused to be transmitted to each determined payload module in the one or more first payload modules.

21 Claims, 17 Drawing Sheets



(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

- | | | | |
|-------------------|---------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| 8,000,689 B2 | 8/2011 | Featherstone et al. | |
| 8,073,578 B1 | 12/2011 | McCusker | |
| 8,082,074 B2 * | 12/2011 | Duggan | G05D 1/0061
244/75.1 |
| 8,100,362 B2 | 1/2012 | Guering | |
| 8,111,154 B1 | 2/2012 | Puri et al. | |
| 8,213,957 B2 | 7/2012 | Bull et al. | |
| 8,223,012 B1 | 7/2012 | Diem | |
| 8,256,715 B2 | 9/2012 | Ballard et al. | |
| 8,260,479 B2 | 9/2012 | Christenson | |
| 8,284,748 B2 | 10/2012 | Borghesi | |
| 8,306,737 B2 | 11/2012 | Nguyen | |
| 8,308,108 B2 | 11/2012 | Cazals | |
| 8,360,362 B2 | 1/2013 | Kismarton | |
| 8,412,166 B2 | 4/2013 | Ellanti et al. | |
| 8,515,609 B2 | 8/2013 | McAndrew et al. | |
| 8,531,293 B2 | 9/2013 | Putz | |
| 8,600,602 B1 * | 12/2013 | McAndrew | G05D 1/0038
244/76 R |
| 8,655,593 B1 | 2/2014 | Davidson | |
| 8,751,144 B2 | 6/2014 | Tzao | |
| 8,825,225 B1 | 9/2014 | Stark et al. | |
| 8,862,285 B2 | 10/2014 | Wong et al. | |
| 8,874,283 B1 | 10/2014 | Cavote | |
| 8,876,571 B2 | 11/2014 | Trowbridge et al. | |
| 8,880,241 B2 | 11/2014 | Mohamadi | |
| 8,903,568 B1 | 12/2014 | Wang | |
| 8,938,160 B2 | 1/2015 | Wang | |
| 8,941,489 B2 | 1/2015 | Sheshadri et al. | |
| 8,965,598 B2 * | 2/2015 | Kruglick | B64C 39/024
244/75.1 |
| 9,043,106 B2 * | 5/2015 | Ingram | B64D 33/00
701/416 |
| 9,066,464 B2 | 6/2015 | Schmidt et al. | |
| 2003/0060938 A1 | 3/2003 | Duvall | |
| 2003/0065428 A1 | 4/2003 | Mendelson | |
| 2003/0093187 A1 | 5/2003 | Walker | |
| 2004/0036630 A1 | 2/2004 | Jamieson et al. | |
| 2004/0068351 A1 | 4/2004 | Solomon | |
| 2004/0162638 A1 | 8/2004 | Solomon | |
| 2005/0060213 A1 | 3/2005 | Lavu et al. | |
| 2005/0156715 A1 | 7/2005 | Zou et al. | |
| 2005/0159883 A1 | 7/2005 | Humphries et al. | |
| 2006/0155425 A1 | 7/2006 | Howlett | |
| 2006/0167597 A1 * | 7/2006 | Bodin | G01C 21/005
701/3 |
| 2006/0262781 A1 | 11/2006 | Campini | |
| 2006/0270421 A1 | 11/2006 | Phillips et al. | |
| 2007/0069083 A1 | 3/2007 | Shams et al. | |
| 2007/0100538 A1 | 5/2007 | Wise et al. | |
| 2007/0112475 A1 * | 5/2007 | Koebler | B60L 3/12
701/1 |
| 2007/0138345 A1 | 6/2007 | Shuster | |
| 2007/0266192 A1 | 11/2007 | Campini | |
| 2008/0007928 A1 | 1/2008 | Salama et al. | |
| 2008/0077299 A1 | 3/2008 | Arshad et al. | |
| 2008/0094256 A1 | 4/2008 | Koen | |
| 2008/0174448 A1 | 7/2008 | Hudson | |
| 2008/0178463 A1 | 7/2008 | Okubora | |
| 2008/0186165 A1 | 8/2008 | Bertagna et al. | |
| 2008/0210809 A1 | 9/2008 | Arlton et al. | |
| 2008/0255711 A1 | 10/2008 | Matos | |
| 2009/0087029 A1 | 4/2009 | Coleman | |
| 2009/0088972 A1 | 4/2009 | Bushnell | |
| 2009/0114764 A1 | 5/2009 | Builta et al. | |
| 2009/0150070 A1 | 6/2009 | Alewine et al. | |
| 2009/0219393 A1 | 9/2009 | Vian et al. | |
| 2009/0312032 A1 | 12/2009 | Bornstein et al. | |
| 2010/0042940 A1 | 2/2010 | Monday et al. | |
| 2010/0049987 A1 | 2/2010 | Ettorre | |
| 2010/0145556 A1 | 6/2010 | Christenson | |
| 2010/0155611 A1 | 6/2010 | Fullwood | |
| 2010/0168937 A1 | 7/2010 | Soijer et al. | |
| 2010/0201806 A1 | 8/2010 | Nygaard | |
| 2010/0235032 A1 | 9/2010 | Sung et al. | |
| 2011/0022770 A1 | 1/2011 | Sullivan | |
| 2011/0130636 A1 | 6/2011 | Daniel et al. | |
| 2011/0133888 A1 | 6/2011 | Stevens et al. | |
| 2011/0178811 A1 | 7/2011 | Sheridan | |
| 2011/0196564 A1 | 8/2011 | Coulmeau | |
| 2011/0258021 A1 | 10/2011 | Mumaw et al. | |
| 2011/0301784 A1 | 12/2011 | Oakley | |
| 2011/0320068 A1 | 12/2011 | Lee et al. | |
| 2012/0022719 A1 | 1/2012 | Matos | |
| 2012/0035787 A1 * | 2/2012 | Dunkelberger | B64C 39/024
701/3 |
| 2012/0044710 A1 | 2/2012 | Jones | |
| 2012/0104517 A1 | 5/2012 | Huang | |
| 2012/0209457 A1 | 8/2012 | Bushnell | |
| 2012/0232722 A1 | 9/2012 | Fisher et al. | |
| 2012/0233447 A1 | 9/2012 | Fitzgerald | |
| 2012/0253555 A1 | 10/2012 | Stange | |
| 2012/0296499 A1 | 11/2012 | Kirchhofer et al. | |
| 2012/0299751 A1 | 11/2012 | Verna et al. | |
| 2012/0319815 A1 | 12/2012 | Feldman | |
| 2013/0009013 A1 | 1/2013 | Bourakov et al. | |
| 2013/0085669 A1 | 4/2013 | Bailey et al. | |
| 2013/0345920 A1 | 12/2013 | Duggan et al. | |
| 2014/0018979 A1 | 1/2014 | Goossen et al. | |
| 2014/0025229 A1 * | 1/2014 | Levien | A61M 5/20
701/2 |
| 2014/0032034 A1 | 1/2014 | Raptopoulos et al. | |
| 2014/0163852 A1 | 6/2014 | Borri et al. | |
| 2014/0172194 A1 | 6/2014 | Levien et al. | |
| 2014/0212986 A1 | 7/2014 | Angelescu et al. | |
| 2014/0222248 A1 | 8/2014 | Levien et al. | |
| 2014/0244078 A1 | 8/2014 | Downey et al. | |
| 2014/0249693 A1 | 9/2014 | Stark et al. | |
| 2014/0277834 A1 | 9/2014 | Levien et al. | |
| 2014/0325644 A1 | 10/2014 | Oberg | |
| 2015/0225081 A1 * | 8/2015 | Stabler | B64C 39/024
701/3 |

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

EP	2156216 A1	2/2010
EP	2212199 A1	8/2010
JP	06-195125	7/1994
JP	08-048297	2/1996

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Extended European Search Report dated Jul. 30, 2015, regarding Application No. EP 12 83 9320, 5 pages.
International Search Report and Written Opinion of the International Searching Authority for International Application No. PCT/US2015/030229, mailed Aug. 24, 2015, in 11 pages.

* cited by examiner

10




FIG. 1




FIG. 2




FIG. 3




FIG. 4




FIG. 5




FIG. 6

Airware			
Overview			
Orientation			
Parameters ▾			
Contingency ▾			
Calibration ▾			
Updates			
Tarot FY650 Edit 702 Serial #: 1		Start New Config Load Config	
Download Logs Clear Logs			
Alerts			
Modules			
	GPS Module	173 *	704
	Actuator Module	207 *	706
	Actuator Module	475 *	708
	Air Datalink Module	643 *	710
	Flight Core	1084	712
Disconnect		Apply to Aircraft	

FIG. 7

Airware	
<div>Overview</div>	<div>Datalink Configuration</div>
<div>Orientation</div>	<div>Frequency</div>
<div>Parameters</div>	<div>Transmit Power</div>
<div>Flight Parameters</div>	<div>Bandwidth</div>
<div>Datalink</div>	<div>Network ID</div>
<div>Actuators</div>	<div>Encryption</div>
<div>RC Setup</div>	<div>Encryption Shared Key</div>
<div>Contingency</div>	
<div>Calibration</div>	
<div>Updates</div>	

800

802

2425 MHz

30 dBm

8 MHz

FY650-1

None

AES-128

AES-256

>

Datalink Configuration

Datalink Settings

The Datalink Settings are used by both the Air Datalink Module and the Ground Datalink Module to establish a wireless connection between the Ground Control Station and the Aircraft.

Select the communication settings for the Radio Modem to configure the Datalink.

Power and Security

While default settings are generally suitable often the transmit power should be reduced for short-range testing and increased for long-range flight. Optional encryption settings can be used to provide secure communications.

804

Disconnect

Load Config

Save Config

Apply to Aircraft

FIG. 8A

808

806

[illegible]

FIG. 8B

[illegible]

FIG. 8C

Airware		CONTINGENCY SETTINGS		830		CONTINGENCY SETTINGS	
OVERVIEW	ORIENTATION	CONTINGENCY 832	THRESHOLD 834	RESULTING ACTION 836			
PARAMETERS	FLIGHT						
PARAMETERS	PARAMETERS						
DATALINK	DATALINK	0.5	SECONDS	RETURN TO HOME, LOITER, AND LAND			
ACTUATORS	ACTUATORS	10	SECONDS	RETURN TO HOME, LOITER, AND LAND			
RC SETUP	RC SETUP	14.300000190	VOLTS	RETURN TO HOME AND LAND			
CONTINGENCY	CONTINGENCY	14.100000381	VOLTS	LAND NOW			
CALIBRATION	CALIBRATION						
UPDATES	UPDATES						
		<p>IF A LOSS OF GPS IS DETECTED THE AIRCRAFT WILL AUTOMATICALLY EXECUTE A LAND NOW ACTION. IF THE AIRCRAFT RECEIVES NO COMMANDS FROM THE OPERATOR OR GCS, A LOST DATALINK CONTINGENCY EVENT WILL BE ACTIVATED.</p>					
		<p>SELECT THE AIRCRAFT ACTION IN RESPONSE TO A CONTINGENCY EVENT FROM THE LIST OF AVAILABLE ACTIONS.</p>					
		<p>IF RETURN TO HOME AND LAND OR RETURN TO HOME LOITER AND LAND IS SELECTED THE GCS SOFTWARE CAN BE USED TO LATER MAP THESE FUNCTIONS TO SPECIFIC FLIGHT PLANS.</p>					
		<p>FOR EACH CONTINGENCY EVENT SPECIFY THE THRESHOLD THAT IF EXCEEDED WILL TRIGGER THE EVENT.</p>					
		<p>CONTINGENCY THRESHOLDS</p>					
		<p>RESULTING ACTIONS</p>					
		<p>CONTINGENCY SETTINGS</p>					
		<p>DISCONNECT</p>				<p>APPLY TO AIRCRAFT</p>	
		<p>LOAD CONFIG</p>				<p>SAVE CONFIG</p>	

FIG. 8D




FIG. 9




FIG. 10




FIG. 11




FIG. 12




FIG. 13




FIG. 14

1

DISTRIBUTED UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLE ARCHITECTURE

BACKGROUND

Unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) are utilized by governmental organizations and businesses for a wide range of reasons, with each reason requiring particular UAV modes of operation and/or particular payload to be carried by the UAV. For instance, a shipping company can include particular payload, e.g., global positioning system sensors, cameras, and a cargo holder, to track its progression along a pre-defined shipping route, and deposit the cargo at a particular location.

With the increasing usage of UAV's for disparate purpose, payload size and power requirements are becoming more of a concern. Conventionally, to ensure that a UAV does not run out of power before completing its mission, an organization can equip the UAV with a large battery, or replace payload modules with low-power versions.

SUMMARY

In general, one innovative aspect of the subject matter described in this specification can be embodied in a system included in an unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV) and in communication with one or more payload modules and one or more flight critical modules included in the UAV, the system comprising one or more processors configured to perform operations comprising: obtaining information identifying flight information of the UAV; determining, from the flight information, one or more first payload modules to enter a respective modified power state; and provide, to each determined payload module, requests to enter the respective modified power state.

Particular embodiments of the subject matter described can be implemented so as to realize one or more of the following advantages. A system can separate the control and operation of flight critical systems from payload systems. The system can guard against a payload system failure, which can consume too much power or lock up a data bus, resulting in the failure of one or more flight critical systems. Additionally, the system can negotiate power concerns between the payload systems and flight critical systems, to ensure that flight critical systems are given ample power to function properly. The system can also modify the power states of payload modules depending on the current flight phase of an unmanned aerial vehicle. For instance, during a takeoff flight phase, the included payload modules can be set to a low power state.

The details of one or more embodiments of the subject matter of this specification are set forth in the accompanying drawings and the description below. Other features, aspects, and advantages of the subject matter will become apparent from the description, the drawings, and the claims.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 illustrates a block diagram of an example distributed system architecture for an unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV).

FIG. 2 illustrates a block diagram of an example flight core processing system.

FIG. 3 illustrates a block diagram of an example payload core processing system.

FIG. 4 is a flowchart of an example process for dynamically negotiating power requirements of modules based on flight information of a UAV.

2

FIG. 5 is a flowchart of an example process for dynamically negotiating bandwidth usage of modules based on flight information of a UAV.

FIG. 6 is an illustration of a UAV entering different example flight phases.

FIG. 7 is an illustration of an example user interface generated by a configuration utility.

FIG. 8A illustrates an example user interface generated by the configuration utility for configuring and validating a UAV radio datalink.

FIG. 8B illustrates an example user interface generated by the configuration utility for configuring and validating a UAV effector port mapping.

FIG. 8C includes an example user interface generated by the configuration utility for configuring and validating radio control transmitter input settings.

FIG. 8D includes an example user interface generated by the configuration utility for validating contingency identification thresholds and contingency response actions.

FIG. 9 is a flowchart of an example process for determining airworthiness of a UAV by the configuration utility.

FIG. 10 illustrates an example process for determining an estimated maximum flight endurance of a UAV.

FIG. 11 illustrates an example process for determining an estimated maximum flight radius of a UAV.

FIG. 12 illustrates a flowchart of an example process for determining an estimated maximum communication link range of a UAV.

FIG. 13 is a flowchart of an example process for processing a configuration file received from a cloud system.

FIG. 14 illustrates an example user interface identifying the processing of a configuration file received from a cloud system.

Like reference numbers and designations in the various drawings indicate like elements.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

This specification describes an example distributed system architecture for vehicles, such as unmanned vehicles. The unmanned vehicles may include unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs), such as drones, unpiloted aerial vehicles, remotely piloted aircraft, unmanned aircraft systems, any aircraft covered under Circular 328 AN/190 classified by the International Civil Aviation Organization, and so on. The example distributed system architecture may include a flight core processing system (e.g., a system in communication with flight critical systems and processes) in communication with (e.g., via one or more data buses and/or one or more power buses) a payload core processing system, e.g., a system in communication with one or more payload systems and processes). As described in FIG. 1, the example flight core processing system maintains power and/or bandwidth requirements of flight critical systems. Additionally, the example payload core processing system manages power and/or bandwidth requirements of non-flight critical payload systems (e.g., sensors, cameras, gimbal systems). For example, a flight critical system or process may include an aerial vehicle part, assembly, installation, or process containing a critical characteristic whose failure, malfunction, or absence could cause a catastrophic failure resulting in engine shut-down or loss or serious damage to the aerial vehicle resulting in an unsafe condition.

To ensure that power and/or bandwidth requirements of payload systems do not overwhelm, or negatively affect, the flight critical systems, the flight core processing system can switch off power and/or bandwidth to the payload core pro-

cessing system, or limit the power and/or bandwidth available to the payload systems in communication with the payload core processing system. Similarly, the payload core processing system can limit the power and/or bandwidth available to the payload systems by instructing the payload systems to enter lower power non-operational states. This distributed, e.g., separated, architecture allows for a UAV to have its flight-critical systems in flight ready condition with respect to power and/or bandwidth regardless of the number of payload systems that are included in the UAV.

For instance, in conventional systems, a malfunctioning payload system can draw too much power, or consume too much of the data buses bandwidth, such that the flight critical systems can malfunction. By separating control and management of power and bandwidth between flight critical systems and payload systems, the distributed system architecture can reduce, or limit, the occurrence of flight critical system failures.

Since the payload systems can be managed distinct from the flight critical systems, optionally the UAV can have payload systems replaced, modified, or removed, without the need for validation, verification, or re-certification of flight-critical systems.

Additionally, as will be described below, power usage and/or bandwidth usage of payload systems can be modified depending on a UAV's flight phase, e.g., taxiing, launching, in-air flight, landing, and so on. For instance, while the UAV is on the ground, e.g., taxiing, the flight core processing system can provide information to the payload core processing system to provide full power requirements, and/or bandwidth requirements, to each payload system. However, during in-air flight, particular payload systems can be accorded full power and bandwidth, with superfluous payload systems (e.g., those that are currently not being actively used) accorded minimal bandwidth, and limited power. In this way, the distributed system architecture can guard against power and/or bandwidth requirements, or anomalies, of a payload system negatively affecting flight critical systems.

FIG. 1 illustrates a block diagram of an example distributed system architecture 10 for an unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV). The distributed system architecture 10 includes a flight core processing system 100, e.g., a system in communication with flight modules 102 (e.g., a flight satellite system) which are critical to the safe operation of the UAV, connected to a payload core processing system 120, e.g., a system in communication with payload modules 122 (e.g., a payload satellite system) which are not critical to the safe operation of the UAV. In the illustrated example, the connection includes a shared data bus 110 connection (e.g., a bus that can transmit data, messages, packets), and a power bus connection (e.g., a bus which provides power). In this specification, a flight module is any system, module, or software process, that is critical to the safe flight operation of the UAV.

In the illustrated example, the flight core processing system 100 is configured as a central system hub for power and data, maintaining flight-critical systems and processes. For instance, the flight core processing system 100 can ensure functional operation of the one or more flight modules 102, e.g., some or all of the following: a global positioning system (GPS), other navigation sensors, a radio system, steering actuators, and so on. To ensure their functional operation, the flight core processing system 100 can eliminate power to the payload core processing system 120, e.g., over the power bus 112, and disable the flight core processing system's 120 connection to the shared data bus 110. In this way, the flight core

processing system 100 can ensure that the flight modules 102 are provided with sufficient power and bandwidth for their safe operation.

For instance, upon determining that a payload module 122 is using too much current, e.g., due to a short circuit, the flight core processing system 100 can switch power 112 off to the payload core processing system 120. Similarly, upon determining that a payload module 122 is using too much bandwidth, the flight core processing system 100 can disable the payload core processing system's 100 connection to the data bus 110. In this way the flight core processing system 100 can ensure that flight modules 102 are not affected by malfunctioning payload modules 122, or over-use of power and/or bandwidth. By way of further example, upon determining that a flight critical system needs more power than currently available, the flight core processing system can instruct the payload core processing system to reduce the power provided to one or more payload systems, such as by placing them in a lower power standby mode (which may result in a reduction in the performance and/or functionality of one or more payload systems), to thereby make more power available to the flight critical system. Similarly, upon determining that a flight critical system needs more data bandwidth than currently available, the flight core processing system can instruct the payload core processing system to reduce the data bandwidth utilized by one or more payload systems, such as by instructing the one or more payload systems not to access a data bus for a specified period of time or with a lower frequency, to thereby make more data bandwidth on the data bus available to the flight critical system.

The flight core processing system 100 can dynamically determine power and bandwidth requirements of the flight modules 102, and provide information to the payload core processing system 120 identifying allowable power and bandwidth usage by payload modules 122.

The payload core processing system 120 can then enforce the allowable power and bandwidth usage by providing information to payload modules 122 instructing the modules 122 to enter different power states, e.g., low-power states, based off current power usage by the payload modules 122.

Additionally, optionally the flight core processing system 100 can obtain a current flight phase of the UAV, and provide information identifying the flight phase to the payload core processing system 120. The payload core processing system can then obtain information associated with the flight phase, e.g., payload modules 122 that can be placed into a nominal power state to function normally and payload modules 122 that are to be placed in a low-power state with reduced functionality.

Optionally, a given payload module 122 can also provide a request to the payload core processing system 120 to utilize bandwidth and/or power (e.g., a specified amount of bandwidth and/or power), which the payload module 122 can grant, or deny, based on, at least partly on, current flight phase or the acceptable power and/or bandwidth usage determined by the flight core processing system 100.

In this way, the flight core processing system 100 and payload core processing system 120 can be complementary, with the payload core processing system 120 providing insights into the power and bandwidth associated with payload modules 122, and the flight core processing system 100 providing insights into flight critical systems 102. Thus, the bandwidth and power usage of the payload modules 122 can be efficiently optimized to keep the flight critical modules 102 operating in a safe condition, e.g., with sufficient power and bandwidth usage, while disabling payload modules 122 when they are not needed to conserve battery life.

5

FIG. 2 illustrates a block diagram of the example flight core processing system 100. The flight core processing system 100 can be a system of one or more processors, logic circuits, analog circuits, associated volatile and/or non-volatile memory, associated input/output data ports, power ports, etc., and/or one or more software processes executing on one or more processors or computers.

The flight core processing system 100 is connected to one or more flight modules 102 via a flight power bus 104 and a flight data bus 106. The flight data bus 106 can include one or more data buses of various types, e.g., ETHERNET, UNIVERSAL SERIAL BUS (USB), UAVCAN, IEEE 1394b, MIL-STD-1553, and so on. Optionally some or all of the flight modules 102 can be connected in series, e.g., daisy-chained together, with one flight module ultimately connected to the flight core processing system 100. Optionally, two or more flight modules 102 can be connected to the flight core processing system 100, and other flight modules can be connected in series, e.g., daisy-chained, to one of the two or more flight modules 102. The flight core processing system 100 receives power, e.g., to power the flight power bus 104, from an input power source, e.g., one or more batteries included in a UAV, or a power system that maintains one or more batteries.

The example flight core processing system 100 includes a flight critical processing engine 220 that can perform flight critical tasks, including one or more of baro-inertial measurements, inertial estimation and navigation, autonomous flight control, e.g., autopilot, and flight plan execution contingency identification and contingency flight plan execution, enumeration and management of flight modules 102, in-system programming of flight modules 102 and the flight core processing system 100 itself, and/or recording and storing data logs. Data logs can include sensor data, navigation data, flight plans, system status information, system error information, contingency state information, and/or UAV system configuration data. Thus, the flight core processing system 100 may be coupled to one or more sensors included in the UAV, such as accelerometers, barometric sensors, gyro sensors, temperature sensors, battery and/or current sensors, battery and/or other voltage sensors, flight surface position sensors, motor sensors, and/or other sensors.

Additionally, the flight critical processing engine 220 can receive information, e.g., from a user operating a configuration utility, configuring an autopilot, flight modules 102, and so on. The flight critical processing engine 220 can then provide the configuration information to respective flight modules 102 or other components of the UAV. The configuration utility is described below, with reference to FIG. 7.

The flight core processing system 100 includes a sensor measurement engine 210, which can be in communication with one or more of the sensors disclosed herein. For example, the sensor measurement engine 210 may be in communication with an inertial measurement unit (IMU) including, for example, a MEMS (Micro-electromechanical system) 3-axis accelerometer sensor and MEMS 3-axis rate gyro sensor, and/or other type of accelerometer and gyro sensors. An optional barometric pressure sensor can also be included to measure barometric atmospheric pressure and one or more temperature sensors may be included to measure environmental temperature (e.g., air temperature) and/or the temperature of various modules and/or components (e.g., such as modules and/or components disclosed herein). Inertial and barometric sensors may be utilized by the sensor measurement engine 210 to correct for environmental conditions (such as temperature) by applying a pre-determined calibration correction. The sensor measurement engine 210 can

6

execute an inertial estimation algorithm, e.g., a Kalman Filter or Extended Kalman Filter, which can estimate position, velocity, and/or attitude of the UAV using the inertial measurement unit and/or optional barometric pressure sensor.

Additionally, the sensor measurement engine 210 can obtain thermal measurements of the UAV, and obtain battery levels, e.g., voltage level, charge level, of one or more batteries powering the UAV. As will be described below, optionally if the thermal measurements exceed a threshold, or the battery levels are less than a threshold, the flight core processing system 100 can disable power usage by the non-flight critical payload modules.

The flight core processing system 100 includes a flight power management engine 230 which can adjust power to the payload core processing system 120, to ensure that any flight critical modules 102 receive full power requirements. The flight power management engine 230 is configured to dynamically negotiate power usage and requirements with the payload core processing system 230, and also to sever the power connection to the payload core processing system 120 upon determining the payload core processing system 120, or a payload module 122, is malfunctioning. Furthermore, the flight power management engine 230 can regulate the voltage level of power 112 provided to the payload core processing system 120, condition the power 112 to suppress or remove transient voltage anomalies, and measure power current power and current usage of the payload core processing system 120.

The flight power management engine 230 can disable power to the payload core processing system 120 upon determining that the power usage of the payload core processing system 120 endangers the safe flight operation of the UAV. For instance, the flight power management engine 230 can obtain information identifying that a battery level of the UAV, e.g., a level of one or more batteries powering the UAV, is below a threshold. Optionally, the threshold can be determined dynamically, e.g., based on a remaining flight time and power requirements of the flight modules 102. Optionally, a given threshold may be pre-specified, but may optionally be dynamically modified based at least in part on current flight related parameters (e.g., one or more of: remaining flight time, battery charge remaining, air temperature, other sensor measurements, payload sensor readings that still need to be taken, power requirements of the flight modules 102, etc.)

Additionally, the power management engine 230 can disable power to the payload core processing system 120 upon determining that the payload core processing system 120 is consuming too much power or battery charge, e.g., a payload module is malfunctioning causing a short-circuit or over-current condition.

Similarly, the power management engine 230 can disable power to the payload core processing system 120 upon determining that one or more thermal states of the UAV, e.g., system temperature of the batteries, processing systems, modules 102 and 122, and so on, exceed a threshold. In this way, the flight power management engine 230 can prevent damage to the UAV's electrical systems, e.g., flight critical modules 102.

In some implementations, instead of disabling power to the payload core processing system 120, the flight power management engine 230 can provide information identifying a reduced acceptable power usage to the payload core processing system 120. For instance, if the flight power management engine 230 determines that one or more thermal states exceed a threshold, the flight power management engine 230 can reduce the acceptable power usage of the payload modules until the thermal states normalize.

To dynamically negotiate power usage, the flight power management engine 230 can communicate with the flight modules 102, and the payload core processing system 120. The communications can include, the flight core processing system 100 receiving electrical power requirements for flight modules 102 and payload modules 122, e.g., minimum electrical power, nominal electrical power, maximum electrical power, electrical power requirements of one or more states of each module, etc. In this way, the flight core processing system 100 can identify power requirements of some or all of the connected modules 102 and 122.

The payload core processing system 120 can provide requests to increase its acceptable power usage, e.g., the system 120 can receive requests from payload modules 122 to be placed in a full power state. The flight power management engine 230 can determine whether the request can be safely granted, e.g., based off power requirements of flight critical modules 102.

The flight power management engine 230 can also provide information to flight modules 102 to place them in a low power state, e.g., depending on the current flight information. For instance, one or more flight critical modules 102 might be required for the safe take-off or safe landing of the UAV, but not for safe operation in an on-station flight phase, e.g., a phase during which the UAV remains in a particular flight path. Therefore, the flight power management engine 230 can safely place the unneeded flight modules 102 in a low power state, and provide information to the payload core processing system 120 identifying a greater acceptable power usage.

Similarly, power states of payload modules 122 can be modified according to a current flight information of the UAV, e.g., flight phase, contingency state, and so on. In some implementations, the flight power management engine 230 can obtain the current flight phase, e.g., from the flight-critical processing engine 220, and provide the current flight phase to the payload core processing system 120. As will be described below with reference to FIG. 3, the payload core processing system 120 can then modify the power states of connected payload modules 122 according to the flight phase.

Similar to negotiating power requirements of the payload core processing system 120, the flight core processing system 100 can negotiate bandwidth requirements of the payload core processing system 120. In this specification, bandwidth requirements include the use of the data bus 110 for a period of time or over a period of time, and can include providing data messages, packets, and so on, to the shared data bus 110 for receipt by another module or processing system. For instance, a camera payload module can provide a large amount of data on the shared data bus 110 to be provided to a radio module for transmission to a remote radio destination, such as a ground station or other aerial or ground vehicle.

The flight core processing system 100 includes a flight bandwidth management engine 240 that can restrict the payload core processing system's 120 use of the shared data bus 110. Additionally, the flight bandwidth management engine 240 can prioritize use of the shared data bus 110 by flight modules 102, e.g., at the expense of non-flight critical payload modules 122.

The flight bandwidth management engine 240 can prioritize, restrict, throttle (e.g., reduce the accessible bandwidth to a certain number of bytes per second), increase latency, or prevent delivery of, data messages emanating from particular payload modules 122. As will be described below with reference to FIG. 5, the flight bandwidth management engine 240 can enforce bandwidth restrictions depending on flight status (e.g., particular payload modules 122 can be blocked when they are not needed), flight phase (e.g., payload modules 122

can be blocked from use of the data bus 110 during a take-off phase), contingency state of the UAV (e.g., payload modules 122 that desire to transmit data through a radio can be blocked from use of the data bus 110 during a "Lost GPS" contingency state, in an effort to reduce radio frequency transmission interference so that a GPS signal lock can be obtained), and so on.

In addition to blocking use of the shared data bus 110, the flight bandwidth management engine 240 can prioritize, restrict, throttle, increase latency, or prevent the delivery of all data messages, or a particular type of data message, being sent to or from a particular software process. Additionally, the flight bandwidth management engine 240 can prioritize, restrict, throttle, increase latency, or prevent the delivery of data messages being sent to or from a specific class of module, e.g., all flight critical modules or payload modules. The flight bandwidth management engine 240 can prioritize, restrict, throttle, increase latency, or prevent all messages, or a specific type of data message, from being transmitted over the shared data bus 110 until the flight bandwidth management engine 240 halts such prioritization, restriction, throttling, increase in latency, or prevention or for a specified period of time.

FIG. 3 illustrates a block diagram of the example payload core processing system 120. The payload core processing system 120 can be a system of one or more processors, logic circuits, analog circuits, associated volatile and/or non-volatile memory, associated input/output data ports, power ports, etc., and/or one or more software processes executing on one or more processors or computers. The payload core processing system 120 receives power 112 from the flight core processing system 100, and can communicate with the flight core processing system 100 via a shared data bus 110.

As described in FIG. 1, the payload core processing system 120 controls a payload power bus 124, e.g., one or more power buses with different power/voltage characteristics, and a payload data bus 126, e.g., one or more types of data buses such as ETHERNET and UNIVERSAL SERIAL BUS, UAVCAN, IEEE 1394b, MIL-STD-1553, etc., which one or more payload modules 122 are connected to. Since each payload module 122 can require a particular power characteristic, e.g., operational voltage level, and particular data bus connection to be functional, the payload core processing system 120 includes disparate types of power buses 124 and payload buses 126.

The payload core processing system 120 includes a payload processing engine 320 which can perform enumeration, configuration, and in-system programming of connected payload modules 122, e.g., via the payload data bus 126. The payload processing engine 320 can determine, at least in part, when payload modules 122 can be programmed based on several factors, including flight state (e.g., In-Air, On-Ground, Active, Inactive), system error conditions, hardware compatibility of payload modules 122 being programmed, firmware image validity, firmware image signature mismatch, and software license validity. For example, the payload processing engine 320 can prevent in-system programming to occur on selected payload modules 122 while the UAV is in-flight. In some implementations, the payload processing engine 320 can communicate with a configuration utility, e.g., operated by a user, which can provide configuration and programming information to the payload modules 122. The configuration utility is described below, with reference to FIG. 7.

The payload core processing system 120 includes a payload power management engine 310 which can place one or more of the modules 122 in distinct power states, e.g., low-

power, nominal power, high-power, and negotiate power usage by the payload modules 122 with the flight core processing system 100.

The payload core processing system 120 can receive information, e.g., from the flight core processing system 100, identifying a current flight phase of the UAV. In response to this received information, the payload power management engine 310 can obtain information identifying payload modules 122 and associated acceptable power states for the received flight phase. The payload power management engine 310 can then provide requests, e.g., over the payload data bus 126, to the payload modules 122 for the payload modules 122 to be placed in the acceptable power states. For instance, if the flight-phase is on-station, e.g., a flight phase during which the UAV stays in a same area or performs a same flight pattern, the payload power management engine 310 can obtain information identifying power states of payload modules 122 for the on-station phase. This can include all, or specific, payload modules 122 being allowed to operate in full-power states.

Additionally, the payload power management engine 310 can receive, e.g., from the flight core processing system 100, information identifying an acceptable power usage by the payload core processing system 120. The payload power management engine 310 can determine the current power and/or current usage, e.g., on the payload power bus 124, and modify power states of particular payload modules 122 to bring the usage of the power bus 124 in conformance with the received acceptable power usage.

The payload power management engine 310 can receive requests from payload modules 122 to be placed in a higher power state, and determine whether the higher power state would cause the power bus 124 to be using more power than is allotted it by the flight core processing system 100. Upon a positive determination, the payload power management engine 310 can deny the request, or place a different payload module 122 in a low power mode, and grant the request. In some implementations, each payload module 122 can be allotted a particular amount of time in which it operates in a full power state, or the payload modules 122 can be associated with a hierarchy. The payload power management engine 310 can prefer to place payload modules 122 in a full power state based on each module's 122 location in the hierarchy. In this way, the payload power management engine 310 can operate within safe acceptable power usage guidelines set by the flight core processing system 100.

Additionally, the payload power management engine 310 can determine that a particular payload module 122 is malfunctioning, e.g., consuming too much power or current, and provide a request to the payload module 122 to turn-off. If the malfunctioning payload module 122 is unable to process the request, the payload power management engine 310 can shut off power to the payload power bus 124. Alternatively, the payload power management engine 310 can provide information to the flight core processing system 100 identifying that the system 100 should sever power connection 112 entirely to the payload core processing system 120.

The payload management engine 310 can also store information identifying a history of electrical faults of particular payload modules 122, e.g., short-circuit or over-current conditions. Upon determining that a payload module 122 has experienced an electrical fault greater than a pre-specified or dynamically determined threshold, e.g., 2 times, 3 times, 5 times, the payload power management engine 310 can provide a request for the particular payload module 122 to turn off.

The payload core processing system 120 further includes a payload bandwidth management engine 330 which can nego-

tiate bandwidth requirements, e.g., use of the shared data bus 110, of the payload modules 122. For instance, a camera payload module 122 can provide a large amount of data, e.g., data messages or packets, on the shared data bus 110, e.g., one or more images or video streams. The payload bandwidth management engine 330 can ensure that this large amount of data is only placed on the shared data bus 110 at times when it will not affect the safe operation of flight-critical modules. Additionally, a malfunctioning payload module 122 might block use of the shared data bus 110. The payload bandwidth management engine 330 can block use of the shared data bus by the malfunctioning payload module 122. The payload bandwidth management engine 330 may specify that a given payload module 122 may only communicate a specified maximum amount of data over a specified time period (e.g., a specified data rate of transmission). Thus, for example, the camera payload module 122 may be instructed to slowly transmit photographs over the shared data bus 110 (e.g., a specified maximum number of megabytes per second, or other amount of data over other period of time), rather than attempt to transmit photographs over the shared data bus 110 in a burst or at a faster rate.

The payload bandwidth management engine 330 receives information, e.g., from the flight core processing engine 100, identifying that the payload bandwidth management engine 330 is to throttle, increase latency, restrict, use of the shared data bus 110. For instance, the payload bandwidth management engine 330 can receive information identifying a particular flight phase, e.g., in-flight, and throttle, increase latency, or restrict, use of the shared data bus 110 by particular payload modules 122 that are not needed during the particular flight-phase. Similarly, during an on-station flight phase, the payload bandwidth management engine 330 can allow full use of the shared data bus 110 by payload modules 122. The payload bandwidth management engine 330 can also prevent delivery of data messages provided from particular payload modules 122. For instance, data messages directed to the flight core processing system 100 from a payload module 122 can overwhelm the flight core processing system 100, e.g., causing a denial of service. The payload bandwidth management engine 330 can cause these data messages to not be delivered.

FIG. 4 is a flowchart of an example process 400 for dynamically negotiating power requirements of modules based at least in part on flight information of a UAV. For convenience, the process 400 will be described as being performed by a system of one or more processors, e.g., the distributed system architecture 10.

The system obtains information identifying flight information of the UAV (block 402). Flight information can include an identification of the phase in a flight progression (e.g., on-station, taxiing, launch phase, landing phase), a contingency state of the UAV if it encounters an error (e.g., low fuel, lost GPS, lost radio link), or a status of the UAV (e.g., on-ground, in-configuration, in-flight).

As the flight of the UAV progresses from, for example, a taxiing on-ground flight phase, to an on-station flight phase, to a landing flight phase, the UAV can store information identifying the progression. The system can then obtain the information, and determine the present flight phase. The system can obtain the current flight phase, for example, in response to a change in the progression of flight phase of the UAV. Similarly, the system can determine a contingency state of the UAV by identifying a system warning or error related to a flight critical system or module e.g., based on one or more data messages and/or sensor readings.

11

The system obtains power usage by modules (block 404). The system obtains current power usage of flight critical modules, and payload modules. For instance, the system can determine the total output power of connected flight critical modules, e.g., the flight core processing system 100 can determine the total output power, and also determine the total output power of payload modules, e.g., the payload core processing system 120 can determine the total output power.

The system provides updated power states to one or more of the payload modules (block 406). The system modifies the power states of one or more of the modules based off the flight information. Optionally, the system can store information identifying acceptable power states of modules with regards to flight information.

For example, the system can obtain information identifying that during an “on-station” flight phase, all of the payload modules are to be accorded nominal power states so that they can function normally. However, during a “landing”, “returning to base”, “climbing”, “descending”, and so on, flight phase, the system can provide information to one or more payload modules to enter a low power or power-off state as they are not needed.

In another example, the system can obtain information identifying that during a “Lost GPS” contingency state, the system is to place payload modules that can interfere with obtaining a lock on a GPS signal in a low-power or power-off non-functional state to prevent or reduce such interference.

Optionally, the system can obtain information identifying an acceptable power usage by payload modules. The acceptable power usage can depend at least in part on flight information, and can, for example, be greater during an “on-station” flight phase, or an “in-configuration” status, e.g., a status identifying that each payload module is to be in nominal power state to receive configuration information. The system can then provide information to one or more payload modules to enter a nominal power state, and thus to consume power. Providing information can include, receiving a request from one or more payload modules to enter nominal power states, and providing a response granting the requests, or providing a direct request for one or more payload modules to enter nominal power states.

If the system determines that the acceptable power usage does not allow for all payload modules to enter a nominal power state, it can identify particular payload modules that can enter normal operation. In some implementations, as described above, the system can store information identifying allowed payload modules for particular flight information. In some other implementations, the system can store a hierarchy of payload modules, and configure one or more payload modules for normal operation based off their hierarchy that consume less than or equal to the acceptable power usage.

FIG. 5 is a flowchart of an example process 500 for dynamically negotiating bandwidth usage of modules based at least in part on flight information of a UAV. For convenience, the process 500 will be described as being performed by a system of one or more processors, e.g., the distributed system architecture 10.

The system obtains information identifying flight information of the UAV (block 502). An example process of obtaining flight information is described above, with reference to FIG. 4.

The system obtains bandwidth usage by modules (block 504). The system determines a bandwidth usage of the flight critical modules and the payload modules. Since many of the flight critical systems need sufficient bandwidth to remain functional, the system determines a measure of central tendency of bandwidth (e.g., mean, median, mode, geometric

12

mean, harmonic mean, weighted mean, truncated mean, midrange mean, trimean, winsorized mean, etc.) use by the flight critical modules, and additionally peak bandwidth usage by the flight critical modules.

The system adjusts the bandwidth usage of payload modules based on the obtained flight information (block 506). The system can restrict, throttle, increase latency, or prevent the delivery of data messages being sent to or from payload modules based on the flight information. That is, the system can provide information to specific payload modules identifying that they are not to place any data messages on, or consume bandwidth of, the data bus, e.g., the shared data bus 110. The system can also receive data messages placed on the data bus by one or more payload modules and cause the messages to not be delivered to their intended target or not to be delivered for a certain period of time (thereby increasing latency). In some implementations, the system can store data messages provided by payload modules in a data structure, e.g., a queue, and provide the data messages to their intended targets upon the occurrence of a different flight phase, e.g., a camera taking pictures during landing can provide its images after the plane lands.

Additionally, the system can prioritize data messages from flight modules, e.g., at the expense of data messages from payload modules. Prioritization can include the system processing, or providing, the data messages prior to non-prioritized data messages emanating from remaining modules.

To adjust the bandwidth, the system can obtain information identifying acceptable bandwidth usage of payload systems given the obtained flight information. For instance, the system may restrict data messages from being placed on a data bus, or prevent delivery of the messages, emanating from superfluous payload modules that are not currently needed during an “in-flight” flight phase, but allow full message delivery during an “in-configuration” UAV status. Similarly, the system can restrict or throttle data message delivery of payload modules during a “launch” flight phase, but grant all bandwidth requests, e.g., not restrict or throttle data messages, during an “on-station” flight phase.

The system determines the acceptable bandwidth usage, in part, with the bandwidth usage of the flight modules. Optionally, the system can ensure that the acceptable bandwidth usage by the payload modules does not interfere with the peak bandwidth usage of the flight critical modules. Optionally, the system can ensure that the acceptable bandwidth usage by the payload modules does not interfere with the average (or other central tendency) bandwidth usage of the flight critical modules.

FIG. 6 is an illustration of an example UAV entering and leaving different flight phases. The illustration includes an example UAV 602 in different phases of its flight progression. The UAV in this example includes two payload module, e.g., camera system 606, and radio system 604. The radio system 604 transmits, and receives, data and messages from the camera system 606 to, and from, communication systems outside of the UAV, e.g., ground based control systems.

The first flight phase 610, e.g., the launch phase, is illustrated as the UAV 602 launching into the air. A system, e.g., the distributed architecture system 10, can obtain information identifying flight information, e.g., a present flight phase. The system can then obtain information (e.g. from memory and/or by querying the payload modules) identifying payload modules and permitted power usage and bandwidth usage. In the example of FIG. 6, the system has determined that the two payload modules, e.g., the camera system 604 and radio system 606, should not be consuming power or using bandwidth

13

during the first flight phase **610**, instead devoting full power and bandwidth to flight critical systems.

After launching, the UAV enters an “on-station” flight phase **620**. As illustrated, the system has obtained information identifying that during an on-station flight phase, the UAV is permitted full use of its payload modules. As similarly described with respect to FIGS. **4** and **5**, the system provides information to the two payload modules identifying that they can enter a nominal power state, and utilize nominal bandwidth, to start properly functioning.

During the example on-station flight phase **620**, the UAV has entered a contingency state identifying the GPS signal is lost **630**, which in this example is a flight-critical system. To guard against interference, and ensure a speedy GPS signal recovery, the system has obtained information identifying that during the contingency state **630**, the UAV is to disable data messages being provided to any payload radio systems, e.g., the radio system **604**. This ensures that the radio system **604** does not transmit unnecessarily and create interference. Optionally, the camera system **606** can remain functioning, e.g., at a nominal power state, however the system will cause its bandwidth use to be partially eliminated, e.g., by causing delivery of its data messages to the radio system **604** to fail.

After regaining GPS signal, the UAV enters a “landing phase” flight phase **640**. Similar to the launch phase **610**, during a landing phase the system obtains information identifying that payload modules should enter low power states and not utilize bandwidth.

Configuration Utility

FIG. **7** is an illustration of an example user interface generated by an example configuration utility **700**. The configuration utility **700** is a tool for configuring a UAV (e.g., an autopilot, connected payload and flight modules), which can generate user interfaces and provide information for presentation to a user and which can receive information, menu selections, and instructions from the user. Optionally, the configuration utility **700** executes on a system separate from the UAV, which connects to the UAV, e.g., wirelessly or through a wired connection to the distributed system architecture **10**, and provides capabilities to modify the UAV’s configuration online (while connected) or offline (running independently of the autopilot or modules). Optionally, the configuration utility **700** can be run on the UAV, e.g., the distributed system architecture **10**, which provides a user interface for presentation on a display connected to the UAV. During configuration, by the configuration utility **700**, the UAV can be placed in an “in-configuration” status, which provides full power and bandwidth requirements to payload modules, e.g., described above.

The configuration utility **700** identifies the autopilot, payload and/or flight modules, and information describing each module, e.g., some or all of the following: SKU, model, serial number, current configuration, capabilities, power usage, data bandwidth usage, flight criticality (e.g., how critical the module is for flight safety), and/or other information.

In the example illustration of FIG. **7**, the configuration utility **700** has identified a name and serial number **702** of the UAV, e.g., Tarot FY650 with serial#1.” Additionally, the configuration utility **700** has identified five modules included in the UAV, including a GPS module **704**, two Actuator modules **706** and **708**, an Air Datalink module **710**, and a flight core module **712**.

The configuration utility **700** is configured to assist in configuring a UAV, including the UAV’s autopilot, and flight/payload modules. The configuration utility **700** can validate configurations of a UAV to ensure correct functionality of the UAV during flight. Optionally, the configuration utility **700**

14

can walk a user through the configuration process, e.g., providing one or more easy to use user interfaces described below.

In validating a configuration of a UAV, the configuration utility **700** can provide user interfaces to guide a user in enabling, and configuring, components of the UAV. For instance, the configuration utility **700** can configure an autopilot, such as controller setup, gain selection, and aircraft type selection. Additionally, the configuration utility **700** can configure an actuator module port configuration, actuator limit settings, actuator type and protocol settings, and so on (e.g., for a flight surface actuator, for a landing gear actuator, for a parachute actuator, etc.). Similarly, the configuration utility **700** can configure a GPS module, a magnetometer configuration (e.g., including hard-iron and soft-iron magnetic calibration), datalink module configuration (e.g., frequency/band settings, Internet Protocol settings, transmission power settings, net mask settings, mesh networking settings), and/or payload module configuration (e.g., gimbal camera control module calibration).

The configuration utility **700** can also provide feedback to the user/operator to make improvements or adjustments to a selected configuration, and optionally enforce certain restrictions to prevent invalid or dangerous configurations from being loaded onto a UAV.

Examples of specifying, examining, and validating configurations are provided below. Various user settings may be provided using menus (e.g., drop down menus, flyout menus, accordion menus or other menus, which may optionally present currently valid options), text fields, or otherwise.

FIG. **8A** illustrates a user interface **800** generated by the configuration utility for configuring and validating a UAV radio datalink, e.g., a flight critical datalink or a payload datalink. The user interface **800** includes selectable options **802**, e.g., frequency selection, transmission power selection, and bandwidth selection, with each selectable option displaying options compatible with the specific manufacturer, model, and capabilities of the radio datalink. The configuration utility can store, or have access to, information describing UAV components, including in this example, a radio datalink.

Additionally, the configuration utility can ensure that a ground datalink includes complementary settings to the UAV radio datalink. That is, the configuration utility can connect to a ground based system, and present a user interface with selectable options already selected based on the UAV selections **802**.

The user interface **800** includes an explanation of the datalink settings **804**, including identifying that both the ground datalink and the UAV datalink will be automatically configured with the same settings. In this way a user of the user interface **800** can understand which options to select, and feel confident that the configuration utility will effect a functional UAV.

The user can select option **806**, e.g., “Apply to Aircraft,” to apply the selectable options **802** to the UAV datalink. After selection of the option **806**, the datalink is placed in an “in-configuration” status, and the selected options **802** are provided to the datalink.

The user can also save the current configuration, or load a previous configuration **808** with an identifier (e.g., a configuration name, a unique alphanumeric code, etc.). A search interface may be provided enabling a user to submit a configuration search query to a configuration utility search engine. The configuration utility search engine may identify matching saved configuration files, and may present a listing of such matching configuration files to the user. The user can

15

select a given configuration file from the listing, and the configuration utility may present the selected configuration file to the user via a corresponding configuration user interface. Optionally, the user may modify the selected configuration file and optionally save the modified configuration file with a different identifier.

FIG. 8B illustrates a user interface **810** generated by the configuration utility for configuring and validating a UAV effector port mapping for one or more actuator control modules. As will be discussed, the user interface **810** accesses and displays determined information, such as what ports of a given actuator control module are connected, to what devices the actuator control module ports are connected to, to which inputs of the connected devices the actuator control module ports are connected to, what is the port mode, if the mode is Pulse Width Modulation rate interface **814**, a pulse width modulation rate for a given connected device, and which power bus (e.g., a flight critical power bus or a non-flight critical power bus) is used to power a given connected device. The user may specify or modify the various channel mappings and modes via the example user interface **810**.

In the illustrated example, the user interface **810** identifies via attached device fields **812** four motors, e.g., Motors 1-4, attached to a first actuator control module (Actuator Control Module v1.0.6, ID:207) and identifies, via a mode interface, that the four motors are powered using a pulse width modulated output. The user interface further identifies, via a Port Pulse Width Modulation rate interface **814**, a pulse width modulation rate, e.g., “400 Hz.”

The user interface further identifies, via a power bus interface **816**, that pulse width modulated power applied to the motors connected to the first actuator control module (Actuator Control Module v1.0.6, ID:207) is provided over the flight critical power bus, e.g., described above with reference to FIGS. 1-2. In this way, the UAV can ensure that the motors are always given power as they require it, e.g., by reducing power to payload modules described in FIG. 4.

The user interface **810** in this example also identifies via attached device fields **818** that an SBUS Device SBUS input, is attached to port 1 of a second actuator control module (Actuator Control Module v1.0.6, ID:475), and identifies, via a mode interface, that the SBUS Device is powered using a pulse width modulated output. The user interface further identifies, via a Port Pulse Width Modulation rate interface **820**, a pulse width modulation rate, e.g., “400 Hz.”

The user interface further identifies, via a power bus interface **822**, that pulse width modulated power applied to the SBUS device connected to the second actuator control module (Actuator Control Module v1.0.6, ID:475) is provided over the flight critical power bus.

Upon a user selection of “Apply to Aircraft,” the configuration utility checks the above selections for validity, e.g., ensuring every motor is assigned to an actuator port, ensuring no motor is assigned to more than one port, and ensuring the pulse width modulation rate and power bus **816** have both been selected and are at a frequency supportable by the UAV.

FIG. 8C includes an example user interface **820** generated by the configuration utility for configuring and validating radio control transmitter input settings. This user interface **820** allows a user to map a controller, e.g., a joystick, to specific channels of a radio on a UAV. As will be discussed, the example user interface **820** accesses and displays determined information, such as control mapping (e.g., the mapping of roll, pitch, yaw, and throttle commands to respective channels, and an indication as to whether a given channel is to be inverted), a mapping of switch functions (e.g., the mapping of kill, control select, manual mode select to respective chan-

16

nels), and an indication of the switch functions respective modes, and an indication as to whether a given switch channel is to be inverted. In addition, an interface may be provided enabling the calibration of a radio control transmitter with respect to the control of throttle, pitch, and/or roll. The user may specify or modify the various channel mappings and modes via the example user interface **820**. Optionally, the system may map a given specified resulting action to one or more specified flight plans.

The example user interface **820** identifies four commands **822**, e.g., Roll, Pitch, Throttle, and Yaw, mapped to four selectable channels, e.g., channels 1-4. The user interface also indicates whether one or more channels are inverted. The user interface **820** further includes range calibration of the controller, by a user selecting the start calibration option **824**. Additionally, the user interface **820** includes one or more switches on the controller that are configured to trigger particular functionality, including an emergency shutoff of all motors **824**, an autonomous flying option **826**, and a manual flying option **828**. The user may specify or modify the various channel mappings and modes via the example user interface **820**.

The configuration utility validates the selections by ensuring that ensuring that each command **822** and switch **824-828** is mapped to a valid and active radio control channel.

FIG. 8D includes an example user interface **830** generated by the configuration utility for validating contingency identification thresholds and contingency response actions. The user interface includes an identification of various contingencies **832**, e.g., “Lost RC link”, “Lost Datalink”, “Low voltage”, “Critical Voltage”, “Geofence Excursion”, mapped to minimum thresholds **834** that need to occur before a resulting contingency action **836** is taken by the UAV (e.g., return to home, loiter, and land; return to home and land; land now, etc.).

For instance, the example user interface **830** indicates that the contingency settings specify that if the UAV determines that it has lost a radio control link for greater than or equal to 0.5 seconds, the UAV is to alter its flight information to return to home, loiter, and land. The user may specify or modify the various thresholds and resulting actions via the example user interface **830**.

The configuration utility validates each threshold **834** using pre-defined range limits for the associated parameter. The configuration utility checks the response actions **836** for validity by constraining the available selection options to only those that are applicable to the contingency. By way of illustration, in this example the only allowable response for Critical Battery Voltage is “Land Now”, since the UAV is critically low on available battery power and cannot travel to a pre-specified home location before landing.

FIGS. 8A-8D describe examples of the configuration utility validating configurations of components, or behaviors, of the UAV and of interfaces via which a user can modify certain configurations or behaviors. This validation optionally includes validation of certain or all configuration parameters, including configuration parameters for UAV type, UAV layout, control parameters, safety and contingency settings, and/or others. Each parameter is optionally validated to be a reasonable value both in terms of absolute range, but also consistent with other parameter settings where applicable.

The configuration utility can also validate the configuration against specified or detected software, hardware, and firmware, of the UAV, to ensure that the configuration is correct and will behave properly.

FIG. 9 is a flowchart of an example process **900** for determining airworthiness of a UAV by the configuration utility.

The configuration utility analyzes information, e.g., type of UAV, aircraft calibration, detected flight and payload modules, configuration parameters, and/or UAV safety and contingency settings, to determine whether the UAV and the UAV's configuration are determined to be safe and airworthy.

To effect this determination, the example configuration utility obtains information associated with each flight and payload module, and determines whether any errors exist (block 902).

The configuration utility obtains information identifying some or all flight and payload modules that are connected, and properly receiving and providing data, to the UAV. For example, the configuration utility may query the UAV (e.g., by transmitting a request to the flight core processing system and/or the payload core processing system) for such information. The configuration utility then compares such information against a list flight and payload modules (e.g., a list of all flight and payload modules) that are supposed to be connected to the UAV. Optionally, the list can be included in a previously generated configuration file, which identifies configuration information of the UAV and associated flight/payload modules. Upon determining any discrepancy, the configuration utility provides, for presentation to a user, error information identifying one or more flight and/or payload modules that were not detected in the UAV but that are supposed to be present and connected.

Additionally, the configuration utility is optionally configured to provide information to a given flight and payload module to perform a self-test and report any errors back. If the configuration utility receives any errors, error information identifying the error is provided for presentation to the user.

The configuration utility obtains a list, e.g., from a configuration file, of identifying information, such as serial numbers, associated with the flight and payload modules that are supposed to be in the UAV, and checks whether the identifying information (e.g., serial numbers) are in conformance to identifying information (e.g., serial numbers) of the flight and payload modules actually in the UAV. If the configuration utility determines that a flight or payload module is associated with a serial number different than a serial number on the list, the configuration utility provides information identifying the discrepancy to the user.

The configuration utility obtains identifications of firmware, or other software, versions associated with the flight and payload modules in the UAV. The configuration utility checks these identifications against firmware, other software, versions identified in the previously generated configuration file. If the configuration utility determines there any discrepancies, the configuration utility provides information identifying the discrepancy to the user.

Optionally, any error or discrepancy, or alternatively certain errors or discrepancy identified as critical, determined, or identified, by the configuration utility causes the UAV to fail the airworthiness test.

The configuration utility obtains configuration information from each flight and payload module, and validates it, e.g., described above with reference to FIG. 8A-8D (block 904). As described in FIG. 8A-8D, the configuration utility can validate configuration settings selected for particular components of the UAV.

For each obtained configuration, the configuration utility validates the configuration. If the configuration utility determines any configuration settings are invalid, the user is provided with a user interface, e.g., user interfaces 800, 810, 820, 830, configured to allow for the changing of particular configuration settings.

After the user changes the configuration settings, the configuration utility re-validates the configuration, and determines whether the configuration of each component is valid. Upon a negative determination, the configuration utility provides information to the user identifying that the UAV did not pass the airworthiness test.

The configuration utility provides any updated configuration information to respective flight and payload modules (block 906). The configuration utility provides any updates to configuration information of any flight or payload module that had to be re-validated in step 904.

The configuration utility optionally computes a checksum e.g., a hash sum, of the configuration information, and directs each receiving flight or payload module to compute a same checksum upon receipt of the configuration information. The flight or payload modules then provide the computed checksum to the configuration utility, which determines whether there are any discrepancies. Upon a positive determination, the configuration utility provides the configuration to the flight or payload modules, until the checksum values agree.

Similarly, each flight or payload module receiving updated configuration information performs a self-test procedure. If the configuration utility receives an identification of an error resulting from the self-test procedure, the airworthiness test fails.

If the airworthiness test did not fail in steps 902, 904, and 906, the configuration utility provides information to the user identifying the successful completion of the airworthiness test (block 908).

FIG. 10 illustrates an example process 1000 for determining an estimated maximum flight endurance of a UAV, e.g., based on its current configuration. The example process 1000 will be described as being performed by the configuration utility, described above.

The configuration utility obtains configuration information of flight and payload modules included in the UAV (block 1002). The configuration utility receives the configuration information, and generates a configuration file identifying each payload and flight module, e.g., with associated configuration information and/or weight information, and information describing the UAV, e.g., UAV type, battery type and chemistry, and so on.

The configuration utility computes a total flight weight of the UAV (block 1004). The configuration utility computes the total flight weight from a weight of all flight and payload modules, weight of UAV batteries, and weight of the UAV airframe.

To determine the weight of all flight and payload modules, the system identifies each flight and payload module in the configuration file, and sums the associated weights.

To determine the weight of the UAV batteries, the configuration utility optionally estimates the weight based on parameters including some or all of the following: battery chemistry, voltage and energy capacity parameters, e.g., obtained from the configuration file. The configuration utility then obtains information identifying batteries (e.g., commonly available commercial off-the-shelf (COTS) batteries) mapped to its weight. The configuration utility identifies a battery (e.g., a suitable COTS battery) with closest parameters, and obtains the estimated weight of the UAV batteries as the weight of the closest COTS battery. Optionally, an interface is provided via which the user can directly input the weight of the batteries.

To determine the weight of the UAV airframe, the configuration utility optionally estimates the weight using the aircraft type, e.g., multi-rotor helicopter, conventional fixed-wing, flying wing, and so on. The configuration utility then obtains

information from a data source (e.g., a local or remote data store, such as a database of vehicle characteristics) identifying typical thrust-to-weight ratios of vehicle airframes, and determines an estimated weight using a closest airframe identified in the information. Optionally, an interface is provided via which the user can directly input the weight of the airframe.

The configuration utility then computes a sum of the above determined weights to obtain the total flight weight.

The configuration utility determines an estimated power consumption of the UAV in nominal flight conditions (block 1006). To estimate the power consumption, the configuration utility obtains the UAV airframe type, along with a number of locations of aerodynamic control effectors. For example, a multi-rotor airframe type would include the lifting rotors. In another example, fixed-wing and flying-wing airframe types would include control surfaces such as ailerons, elevator, rudder, flaps, and so on.

The configuration utility uses the airframe type and effector parameters to model the power consumption of the vehicle in flight (e.g., in nominal flight) given the total flight weight, e.g., determined above in step 1004. The configuration utility can model the power consumption using analytical calculations, e.g., physical formulas governing power consumption and efficiency of various airframe types, or using flight simulation software to gather simulated empirical data, e.g., the flight simulation software can be run using the same airframe type and same total flight weight.

From this modeled power consumption, the configuration utility can determine an estimated flight endurance using the battery capacity of the UAV, e.g., obtained from the configuration file.

FIG. 11 illustrates an example process 1100 for determining an estimated maximum flight radius of a UAV. The example process 1100 will be described as being performed by the configuration utility.

The configuration utility determines an estimated maximum efficiency airspeed (block 1102). The configuration utility communicates with the UAV to receive information associated with the UAV, e.g., flight and payload module information, airframe type and weight, default transit airspeed, and generate a configuration file.

The configuration utility obtains the default transit airspeed from the configuration file, and determines the estimated maximum efficiency airspeed of the UAV. The maximum efficiency airspeed is defined as the airspeed at which the lift-to-drag ratio is maximized for the given aircraft. Optionally, the default transit airspeed is assumed to be the maximum efficiency airspeed, or proportional to it, as the maximum efficiency airspeed maximizes economy of the UAV. Optionally, an interface is provided via which a user can provide the maximum efficiency airspeed.

The configuration utility determines an estimated maximum endurance of the UAV (block 1104). Determining an estimated maximum endurance is described above, with reference to FIG. 10.

The configuration utility determines an estimated maximum flight radius of the UAV (block 1106). To determine the estimated maximum flight radius, the configuration utility may compute a multiplication of the estimated maximum endurance by the estimated maximum efficiency airspeed, to obtain an estimated maximum distance the UAV can travel. Other factors may be taken into account as well.

The estimated maximum flight radius is computed as half or about half the estimated maximum distance.

FIG. 12 illustrates a flowchart of an example process 1200 for determining an estimated maximum communication link

range of a UAV. The process 1200 will be described as being performed by a configuration utility.

The configuration utility obtains in-flight logs from the UAV (block 1202). The configuration utility communicates with a module, e.g., a UAV aircraft datalink module, to receive in-flight logs, e.g., logs generated by periodically sampling information describing flight information of the UAV.

For instance, the in-flight log can include periodic samplings of, a current distance, at the time of sampling, of the UAV from its base location where a ground datalink module is located, e.g., determined by the UAV from a difference between a current GPS location and a GPS location at a time of launch. The in-flight logs can include periodic samplings of a transmitting frequency setting of the aircraft datalink radio, along with transmitting power of the aircraft datalink radio. A Boolean value, e.g., true or false, indicating whether the aircraft datalink radio was able to communicate, at the time of sampling, with a ground datalink module may also be included.

The configuration utility determines an estimated mapping function that maps the in-flight log information (e.g., distance from launch location, transmitting power and frequency) to the Boolean indicator (block 1204). That is, the configuration utility determines a function that takes a distance of a UAV from a ground datalink, a UAV datalink transmission power and frequency, and determines whether the UAV is expected to be in communication with the ground datalink. To effect this determination, the configuration utility can utilize one or more function approximation methods, including least squares, neural networks, support vector machines, Bayesian approximation, and one or more Mixture Models, e.g., Gaussian mixture models.

The configuration utility determines the estimated maximum communication link range (block 1206). The configuration utility obtains the transmitting frequency and transmitting power setting of the datalink module in the UAV, e.g., from a configuration file that includes information describing flight and payload modules of the UAV. The configuration utility then determines the estimated maximum flight radius, e.g., described in reference to FIG. 11.

The configuration utility then evaluates the determined mapping function at distances, from a ground datalink, ranging from zero distance to the maximum estimated flight radius using the obtained transmitting power setting and transmitting frequency. The configuration utility determines the estimated maximum communication link range as the distance in which the mapping function maps to a Boolean True. If the mapping function maps to a Boolean true at distances that exceed the maximum estimated flight radius, the configuration utility increases the maximum estimated flight radius.

Cloud Functionality

A cloud system, e.g., one or more computers in communication with one or more databases or storage systems, accessible over a network can receive configuration information of UAV's, e.g., over the Internet, and store the configuration information with associated identifiers, or metadata, describing the UAV. A user can then search the cloud system, e.g., through a front-end website in communication with the cloud system, for previously created configuration information. Configuration information of a UAV is described above, with reference to FIG. 7 and FIG. 8.

A user can create configuration information for a particular UAV, e.g., through the use of one or more user interfaces illustrated in FIGS. 8A-8D, and provide the created configuration information, e.g., as a configuration file, for storage by

the cloud system. In this way, the user can later obtain the created configuration file, or provide the configuration file to other users. This enables other users to re-use configurations that have been tested or proven to work on a same or similar UAV.

Since a user might desire to utilize a previously created configuration file on his/her UAV, the user has to ensure that the configuration information, in the configuration file, will apply to his/her specific UAV. If there are any differences between his/her UAV and the UAV associated with the configuration file (e.g., different aircraft type, different flight or payload modules), the system may highlight these differences to the user via a user interface and, in some cases, automatically modify and fix the configuration file for use with the user's specific UAV.

FIG. 13 is a flowchart of an example process 1300 for processing a configuration file received from a cloud system. The process 1300 will be described as being performed by a configuration utility, e.g., described above with reference to FIG. 7.

The configuration utility receives a configuration file selected by a user from the cloud system (block 1302). As described above, the cloud system can store previously created configuration files, e.g., a file that includes configuration information for a particular UAV, associated with respective metadata, e.g., labels or identifiers of specific UAV types, payload and flight modules, and so on. Users can submit the configuration files for storage on the cloud server, and configure the file to be available by other users (e.g., by specifying that the configuration file is to be shared with all users or with specific users or specific sets of users (e.g., only users from the same company as the user). Optionally, an interface may be provided enabling the submitting user to enter the metadata, and optionally the cloud system can be configured to automatically parse the configuration file to generate metadata.

After selection of the configuration file, the configuration utility receives the configuration file and begins processing. The configuration utility also obtains information identifying the user's UAV, including aircraft type, flight and payload modules, and so on.

The configuration utility determines similarities between the user's UAV and information included in the configuration file (block 1304).

The configuration utility determines whether some or all of the following, non-exhaustive, list of aspects of the user's UAV are similar to information included in the configuration file. For instance, the configuration utility examines the aircraft type, aircraft manufacturer and model, aircraft safety equipment (e.g., emergency parachute device, transponder beacon, emergency locator beacon), flight controller module firmware version, number of actuator modules and respective firmware version, number and type of navigation modules and respective firmware version (e.g., GPS module or Inertial Measurement Unit module), and number and type of Datalink modules and respective firmware of each module.

For any aspect of the user's UAV that is determined to be similar, the configuration information from the received configuration file are applied to the user's UAV, e.g., applied to respective flight and payload modules. For example, if two actuator modules are included in the user's UAV, and two actuator modules with identical firmware versions are identified in the received configuration file, the parameters from the two actuator modules in the configuration file are automatically applied to the actuator modules in the user's UAV.

The configuration utility recommends parameters for aspects of the user's UAV not found to be similar (block 1306).

For instance, if the configuration utility identifies flight or payload modules of an identical type in the user's UAV and configuration file, but with different firmware versions, the configuration utility can copy parameters from the received configuration file to the user's module that are common between the two firmware versions. However, parameters that are different between the two versions are identified to the user, and the user can configure them.

If the configuration utility determines that two modules of different type are found, but that have identical configurable parameters, the parameters from the module in the received configuration file can be automatically loaded on the user's module. For instance, the user's UAV type may be a 4-rotor multi-rotor, and the UAV type associated with the received configuration file may be an 8-rotor multi-rotor. While the two configurations are different, they may share many of the same parameters, for example performance limits like maximum forward speed, default ascent/descent rates, and maximum commanded attitude. These common parameters can be automatically set in the user's UAV configuration. However, the user may still need to configure parameters that are not common between the two modules.

If the configuration utility determines that two modules include similar functionality, the user's module can be configured with parameters associated with the similar module identified in the received configuration file. For example, the user's UAV may have a GPS module, and the module identified in the received configuration file may be a differential GPS module with a magnetometer. Since the two modules share many of the same abilities and functions, e.g., both compute locations using GPS signals, parameters associated with the similarities in function can be automatically provided to the user's module from the configuration file. However, the user may still need to configure parameters that are not related by similar functionality.

If the received configuration file includes additional modules or aspects that are not part of the user's UAV, the configuration utility will discard the additional modules and aspects.

FIG. 14 illustrates an example user interface 1400 identifying the processing of a configuration file received from a cloud system. The user interface 1400 can be generated by the configuration utility upon receipt of a configuration file received from the cloud system, e.g., described above with reference to FIG. 13.

The user interface includes an identification of satellites, e.g., modules, identified in the configuration file, and satellites, e.g., modules, in a user's UAV. The user interface 1400 includes mappings between the two satellites 1402 and 1406, identifying similar satellites.

While, for illustrative purposes, the foregoing description and figures may refer to a UAV, techniques, systems, and processes disclosed herein may be applied to other vehicles, including other aerial vehicles and to manned or unmanned land or sea vehicles.

For more details, see U.S. patent application Ser. No. 14/709,287, entitled "DISTRIBUTED UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLE ARCHITECTURE," filed on May 11, 2015; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 14/709,360, entitled "UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLE AUTHORIZATION AND GEOFENCE ENVELOPE DETERMINATION," filed on May 11, 2015; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 14/709,364, entitled "UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLE AUTHORIZATION AND GEOFENCE ENVELOPE DETERMINATION."

TION,” filed on May 11, 2015; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 14/709,324, entitled “UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLE AUTHORIZATION AND GEOFENCE ENVELOPE DETERMINATION,” filed on May 11, 2015, each of which is incorporated by reference herein in its entirety.

Each of the processes, methods, and algorithms described in the preceding sections may be embodied in, and fully or partially automated by, code modules executed by one or more computer systems or computer processors comprising computer hardware. The code modules (or “engines”) may be stored on any type of non-transitory computer-readable medium or computer storage device, such as hard drives, solid state memory, optical disc, and/or the like. The systems and modules may also be transmitted as generated data signals (for example, as part of a carrier wave or other analog or digital propagated signal) on a variety of computer-readable transmission mediums, including wireless-based and wired/cable-based mediums, and may take a variety of forms (for example, as part of a single or multiplexed analog signal, or as multiple discrete digital packets or frames). The processes and algorithms may be implemented partially or wholly in application-specific circuitry. The results of the disclosed processes and process steps may be stored, persistently or otherwise, in any type of non-transitory computer storage such as, for example, volatile or non-volatile storage.

In general, the terms “engine” and “module”, as used herein, refer to logic embodied in hardware or firmware, or to a collection of software instructions, possibly having entry and exit points, written in a programming language, such as, for example, Java, Lua, C or C++. A software module may be compiled and linked into an executable program, installed in a dynamic link library, or may be written in an interpreted programming language such as, for example, BASIC, Perl, or Python. It will be appreciated that software modules may be callable from other modules or from themselves, and/or may be invoked in response to detected events or interrupts. Software modules configured for execution on computing devices may be provided on a computer readable medium, such as a compact disc, digital video disc, flash drive, or any other tangible medium. Such software code may be stored, partially or fully, on a memory device of the executing computing device, such as the risk assessment system 100, for execution by the computing device. Software instructions may be embedded in firmware, such as an EPROM. It will be further appreciated that hardware modules may be comprised of connected logic units, such as gates and flip-flops, and/or may be comprised of programmable units, such as programmable gate arrays or processors. The modules described herein are preferably implemented as software modules, but may be represented in hardware or firmware. Generally, the modules described herein refer to logical modules that may be combined with other modules or divided into sub-modules despite their physical organization or storage.

User interfaces described herein are optionally presented (and user instructions may be received) via a user computing device using a browser, other network resource viewer, a dedicated application, or otherwise. Various features described or illustrated as being present in different embodiments or user interfaces may be combined into the same embodiment or user interface. Commands and information received from the user may be stored and acted on by the various systems disclosed herein using the processes disclosed herein. While the disclosure may reference to a user hovering over, pointing at, or clicking on a particular item, other techniques may be used to detect an item of user interest. For example, the user may touch the item via a touch screen, or otherwise indicate an interest. The user interfaces

described herein may be presented on a user terminal, such as a laptop computer, desktop computer, tablet computer, smart phone, virtual reality headset, augmented reality headset, or other terminal type. The user terminals may be associated with user input devices, such as touch screens, microphones, touch pads, keyboards, mice, styluses, cameras, etc. While the foregoing discussion and figures may illustrate various types of menus, other types of menus may be used. For example, menus may be provided via a drop down menu, a tool bar, a pop up menu, interactive voice response system, or otherwise.

The various features and processes described above may be used independently of one another, or may be combined in various ways. All possible combinations and subcombinations are intended to fall within the scope of this disclosure. In addition, certain method or process blocks may be omitted in some implementations. The methods and processes described herein are also not limited to any particular sequence, and the blocks or states relating thereto can be performed in other sequences that are appropriate. For example, described blocks or states may be performed in an order other than that specifically disclosed, or multiple blocks or states may be combined in a single block or state. The example blocks or states may be performed in serial, in parallel, or in some other manner. Blocks or states may be added to or removed from the disclosed example embodiments. The example systems and components described herein may be configured differently than described. For example, elements may be added to, removed from, or rearranged compared to the disclosed example embodiments.

Conditional language used herein, such as, among others, “can,” “could,” “might,” “may,” “for example,” and the like, unless specifically stated otherwise, or otherwise understood within the context as used, is generally intended to convey that certain embodiments include, while other embodiments do not include, certain features, elements and/or steps. Thus, such conditional language is not generally intended to imply that features, elements and/or steps are in any way required for one or more embodiments or that one or more embodiments necessarily include logic for deciding, with or without author input or prompting, whether these features, elements and/or steps are included or are to be performed in any particular embodiment. The terms “comprising,” “including,” “having,” and the like are synonymous and are used inclusively, in an open-ended fashion, and do not exclude additional elements, features, acts, operations, and so forth. Also, the term “or” is used in its inclusive sense (and not in its exclusive sense) so that when used, for example, to connect a list of elements, the term “or” means one, some, or all of the elements in the list. Conjunctive language such as the phrase “at least one of X, Y and Z,” unless specifically stated otherwise, is otherwise understood with the context as used in general to convey that an item, term, etc. may be either X, Y or Z. Thus, such conjunctive language is not generally intended to imply that certain embodiments require at least one of X, at least one of Y and at least one of Z to each be present.

While certain example embodiments have been described, these embodiments have been presented by way of example only, and are not intended to limit the scope of the disclosure. Thus, nothing in the foregoing description is intended to imply that any particular element, feature, characteristic, step, module, or block is necessary or indispensable. Indeed, the novel methods and systems described herein may be embodied in a variety of other forms; furthermore, various omissions, substitutions, and changes in the form of the methods and systems described herein may be made without

25

departing from the spirit of the inventions disclosed herein. The accompanying claims and their equivalents are intended to cover such forms or modifications as would fall within the scope and spirit of certain of the inventions disclosed herein.

Any process descriptions, elements, or blocks in the flow diagrams described herein and/or depicted in the attached figures should be understood as potentially representing modules, segments, or portions of code which include one or more executable instructions for implementing specific logical functions or steps in the process. Alternate implementations are included within the scope of the embodiments described herein in which elements or functions may be deleted, executed out of order from that shown or discussed, including substantially concurrently or in reverse order, depending on the functionality involved, as would be understood by those skilled in the art.

It should be emphasized that many variations and modifications may be made to the above-described embodiments, the elements of which are to be understood as being among other acceptable examples. All such modifications and variations are intended to be included herein within the scope of this disclosure. The foregoing description details certain embodiments of the invention. It will be appreciated, however, that no matter how detailed the foregoing appears in text, the invention can be practiced in many ways. As is also stated above, it should be noted that the use of particular terminology when describing certain features or aspects of the invention should not be taken to imply that the terminology is being re-defined herein to be restricted to including any specific characteristics of the features or aspects of the invention with which that terminology is associated.

What is claimed is:

1. An unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV) flight processing system comprising:

said interface configured to communicate with one or more payload modules;

an interface configured to communicate with one or more flight critical modules;

one or more processors comprising hardware, the one or more processors configured to at least:

obtain information identifying flight information of the UAV, the flight information comprising flight phase information or a contingency condition associated with a flight critical module;

analyze the identified flight information;

based at least in part on the analysis of the identified flight information, determine at least one or more first payload modules to enter a respective modified power state; and

cause, at least in part, requests to enter the respective modified power state to be transmitted to each of the determined payload modules in the one or more first payload modules.

2. The system of claim 1, wherein a modified power state is a nominal power state which enables the determined payload modules to operate with normal functionality.

3. The system of claim 1, wherein a modified power state is a low-power state which causes the determined payload modules to operate with less than normal functionality.

4. The system of claim 1, wherein the one or more processors are configured to:

determine, based at least in part on the analysis of the identified flight information, one or more second payload modules to receive modified bus bandwidth usage; and

at least partly in response to the determination of one or more second payload modules to receive modified band-

26

width, modify an ability of each of the second payload modules to deliver data messages over a bus.

5. The system of claim 1, wherein the one or more processors are configured to:

determine, based at least in part on the analysis of the identified flight information, one or more second payload modules to receive modified bandwidth usage; and at least partly in response to the determination of one or more second payload modules to receive modified bandwidth, modify an ability of each of the second payload modules to deliver data messages over a shared bus by prioritizing delivery of the data messages on the shared UAV data bus.

6. The system of claim 1, wherein the interface configured to communicate with one or more payload modules is configured to be connected to a payload data bus and the interface configured to communicate with one or more flight critical modules is connected to a flight data bus isolated from the payload data bus.

7. The system of claim 1, wherein the one or more processors are configured to:

determine, based at least in part on the analysis of the identified flight information, one or more second payload modules to receive modified bandwidth usage; and at least partly in response to the determination of one or more second payload modules to receive modified bandwidth, blocking delivery of data messages on a shared data bus.

8. The system of claim 1, wherein flight information identifies a flight phase comprising: landing, takeoff, on-station, or in-flight.

9. The system of claim 1, wherein flight information identifies a contingency condition associated with a flight critical module, and wherein the one or more processors are configured to:

determine, from information associated the contingency condition, to block delivery of data messages associated with a particular payload module.

10. A method comprising:

obtaining, by a system comprising hardware included in an unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV) and in communication with one or more payload modules and one or more flight critical modules included in the UAV, information identifying flight information of the UAV, wherein the flight information comprises flight phase information or a contingency condition associated with a flight critical module;

analyzing, by the system, the identified flight information; based at least in part on the analysis of the identified flight information, determining, by the system, one or more first payload modules to enter a respective modified power state; and

causing, at least in part, requests to enter the respective modified power state to be transmitted to each of the determined payload modules in the one or more first payload modules.

11. The method of claim 10, wherein a modified power state is a nominal power state.

12. The method of claim 10, wherein the method further comprises:

determining, based at least in part on the analysis of the identified flight information, one or more second payload modules to receive modified bandwidth usage; and at least partly in response to the determination of one or more second payload modules to receive modified bandwidth, modifying delivery of data messages associated with each of the second payload modules.

27

13. The method of claim 10, wherein the method further comprises:

determining, based at least in part on the analysis of the identified flight information, one or more second payload modules to receive modified bandwidth usage; and
at least partly in response to the determination of one or more second payload modules to receive modified bandwidth, modifying delivery of data messages associated with each of the second payload modules by prioritizing delivery of the data messages on a shared data bus included in the system.

14. The method of claim 10, wherein the method further comprises:

determining, based at least in part on the analysis of the identified flight information, one or more second payload modules to receive modified bandwidth usage;
blocking delivery of the data messages on a shared data bus included in the system by at least one payload module; and

after blocking delivery of the data messages on the shared data bus included in the system by at least one payload module, modifying delivery of data messages associated with each of the second payload modules by prioritizing delivery of the data messages on a shared data bus included in the system.

15. The method of claim 10, wherein flight information identifies a flight phase comprising: landing, takeoff, on-station, or in-flight.

16. The method of claim 10, wherein flight information identifies a contingency condition associated with a flight critical module, and wherein the method further comprises: determining, from information associated the contingency condition, to block delivery of data messages associated with a particular payload module.

17. A system included in an unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV), the system comprising:

a flight system connected, via one or more flight critical power buses and one or more flight critical data buses, to one or more flight critical modules, the flight system comprising one or more processors configured to at least:
determine power requirements of the flight critical modules;

28

determine, from the power requirements, an acceptable power usage by a payload system to enforce, wherein the payload system is connected to the flight system via a shared power bus that provides power to the payload system, and via a shared data bus, respectively isolated from the flight critical power buses and flight critical data buses, and

provide, from the flight system to the payload system, information identifying the acceptable power usage; and

the payload system connected, via one or more payload power buses and one or more payload data buses, to one or more payload modules, the payload system comprising one or more processors configured to at least:

receive, from the flight system, the information identifying the acceptable power usage,

determine, from the acceptable power usage, one or more payload modules to enter a respective modified power state, and

provide, to each of the determined payload modules, a request to enter the respective modified power state.

18. The system of claim 17, wherein the flight system is further configured to:

sever power to the shared power bus.

19. The system of claim 17, wherein the flight system is further configured to:

sever power to the shared power bus in response to determining that the payload system is utilizing greater than the acceptable power usage.

20. The system of claim 17, the flight system is further configured to:

sever power to the shared power bus in response to determining that the payload system is utilizing greater than a threshold amount of current.

21. The system of claim 17, wherein the flight system is further configured to:

sever power to the shared power bus in response to the payload system performing operations comprising:

determine that a payload module is experiencing a malfunction, wherein the malfunction causes the payload module to utilize too much current or power; and
provide a request to the flight system to sever power to the shared power bus.

* * * * *